

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,619	01/05/2004	Max P. McDaniel	51757-0623 (51757-296203)	2424
35395	7590 09/09/2004		EXAM	INER
	CARLYLE SANDRID PHILLIPS CHEMICAL	LU, C C	AIXIA	
P.O. BOX 7037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA,	GA 30357-0037		1713	
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/00/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/751,619	MCDANIEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Caixia Lu	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard provided by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0 2	2 July 2004				
	his action is non-final.				
/		ters prosecution as to the marite is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	are gadyro, 1000 C.L	7. 11, 400 O.G. 210.			
	an anal' C				
 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37 and 39-50</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	irawn irom consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37 and 39-50</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
, (,	aror election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)_(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	oplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not r	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ\	(DTO 440)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) ☐ Notice of Int 6) ☐ Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 26-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Evidence that the claims fail to correspond in scope with that which applicant(s) regard as the invention can be found in the specification (page 2, the last paragraph to page 3, 2nd paragraph) as originally filed, where applicants state that the composition of matter can be produced by contacting an organometal compound, a solid Lewis acid compound (solid mixed oxide) and an organoaluminum compound, and this statement indicates that the invention is different from what is defined in the claims because the instant claims do not require the presence of both of the organometal compound and the organoaluminum compound in the composition.

Applicants argue that the scope of claims 26 and 46 is found on page 9, line 7
18. Applicants seem misinterpreted the ground of rejection. It is the examiner position that the "composition of matter" (which applicants regard as the invention) is a contact product of three components: (I) at least one solid mixed oxide compound, (ii) at least one organometal compound, and (iii) at least one organoaluminum compound.

However, the instant claims do not require the "composition of matter" to be the contact product of all three components. For example, the "composition of matter" also reads the contact product of (i) and (ii) only, or (i) and (iii) only, and those contact products are

Art Unit: 1713

not regarded as invention by applicants in view of applicants' disclosure, especially in the cited section of page 9, line 7-18 which clearly requires the "composition of matter" to be the contact product of "all three compound".

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 39 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The application as originally filed does not anticipate that the composition of matter of claim 26 is catalytically active in the presence of borate compounds, alumoxanes, organochromium compounds or MgCl₂. Those are new matters.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/751,619

Art Unit: 1713

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 26-37 and 39-50 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6, 7, 18-21, and 23 of U.S. Patent No. US 6,165,929. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matters of both sets of claims are substantially overlapping with each other.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26-37 and 39-49 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Caixia Lu

Primary Examiner September 7, 2004